



ISSN: 2230-9926

Available online at <http://www.journalijdr.com>

# IJDR

International Journal of Development Research

Vol. 15, Issue, 03, pp. 67897-67901, March, 2025

<https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.29314.03.2025>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

## DEVELOPMENT, STATE, AND ETHNICITY: A FRAMEWORK FOR COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE SOUTH PACIFIC AND NORTHERN KENYA

\*Juma Richard

Murang'a University of Technology, P.O Box 72-10200, Murang'a, Kenya

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article History:

Received 16<sup>th</sup> January, 2025

Received in revised form

26<sup>th</sup> January, 2025

Accepted 28<sup>th</sup> February, 2025

Published online 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

#### Key Words:

Development, Ethnicity,  
State Formation, Comparative Analysis.

\*Corresponding author: Juma Richard,

### ABSTRACT

This paper examines the intricate relationship between development, state formation, and ethnicity in the South Pacific and Northern Kenya to establish a framework for comparative research. Comparative approach was used to analyse the interaction between state policies, development interventions, and local ethnic identities, elucidating their impact on community resilience and agency. Both regions exhibit varied ethnic compositions and historical encounters with colonialism; nonetheless, they markedly diverge in their developmental paths and state formation processes. In the South Pacific, post-colonial government frequently confronts the difficulties of assimilating diverse ethnic identities while promoting economic development and social unity. Northern Kenya confronts challenges of marginalisation, resource conflicts, and governance failures, with ethnic groupings significantly influencing local political dynamics and development projects. The South Pacific nations have advanced in fostering cultural diversity and inclusive governance, notwithstanding their colonial histories. Conversely, Northern Kenya persists in grappling with entrenched ethnic conflicts and power struggles that obstruct developmental initiatives. The regions exemplify significant case studies for comprehending the intricacies of postcolonial state-building and the persistent influence of ethnic identities on governance and development. Case studies from both locations illustrate shared issues of marginalisation and the impacts of external development goals while also demonstrating local responses that contest top-down methodologies. Ethnic diversity seen as an essential element in the formulation and execution of development initiatives. This study ultimately enhances the broader discourse on development by promoting inclusive frameworks that emphasise local perspectives and contexts. By comprehending the intricate connections among development, statehood, and ethnicity, policymakers can effectively cater to the distinct requirements of ethnically diverse communities, promoting sustainable and equitable growth. The research enriches our understanding of the distinctive settings of the South Pacific and Northern Kenya while providing insights relevant to other locations confronting analogous difficulties in the global landscape.

Copyright©2025, Juma Richard. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Juma Richard. 2025. "Development, State, and Ethnicity: A framework for comparative analysis of the south pacific and Northern Kenya". *International Journal of Development Research*, 15, (03), 67897-67901

## INTRODUCTION

The relationship between development, state formation, and ethnicity has garnered increasing attention in the social sciences, particularly in regions marked by colonial histories and ethnic diversity. For example, in post-colonial Africa, the legacy of colonial boundaries and policies has influenced the formation of ethnic identities and conflicts within newly independent states. This has often resulted in struggles for power and resources between different ethnic groups, leading to political instability and violence. The South Pacific and Northern Kenya present intriguing case studies, encapsulating a range of developmental challenges and state dynamics influenced by ethnic identities. However, in Fiji, despite a history of political instability stemming from ethnic tensions between the indigenous Fijian population and the Indo-Fijian minority, the country has managed to maintain a relatively stable democracy since gaining independence from British colonial rule.

Similarly, despite being ethnically diverse and experiencing occasional inter-ethnic conflicts in Northern Kenya, there have been successful efforts to promote peacebuilding and intercommunal dialogue among different ethnic groups. While ethnic tensions may have historically caused political instability in Fiji and Northern Kenya, it is essential to recognize that these countries have also made significant progress in promoting peace and democracy despite these challenges. Additionally, focusing solely on ethnic identities overlooks other factors contributing to these regions' political dynamics and stability. For example, economic disparities, historical colonial legacies, and governance structures all shape political landscapes in Fiji and Northern Kenya (Maiono, 2024). By acknowledging these complexities and holistically addressing them, both countries can continue to build upon their successes in promoting peace and democracy. Fostering understanding and cooperation among diverse ethnic groups is essential for creating a more inclusive and stable society in these regions. This paper

establishes a comparative framework highlighting these interactions and their implications for development policy.

**Statement of the Problem:** The interplay between development, state formation, and ethnicity constitutes a crucial area of inquiry, particularly where complex social, political, and economic forces intersect. These connections affect governance frameworks, community identities, and patterns of resource allocation in regions like the South Pacific and Northern Kenya. Despite the growing body of research on development and state dynamics, comparative analyses across various geographical locales remain limited. The post-colonial state in the South Pacific grapples with reconciling development initiatives and the imperative to honour Indigenous cultural and ethnic diversity. Similarly, Northern Kenya, populated by various pastoralist communities, faces challenges of marginalisation and underdevelopment within a governmental framework that often overlooks ethnic diversity and local self-governance. Both regions display complex ethnic compositions, competition for resources, and historical legacies of colonialism that continually shape modern state policies. Although these regions exhibit similarities, such as issues of state legitimacy, ethnic strife, and underdevelopment, they also reveal distinct dynamics shaped by geography, historical contexts, and the significance of ethnicity in political identities. Nevertheless, a comprehensive framework for a substantive comparative analysis of the development trajectories, state-building processes, and ethnic dynamics of these two regions remains insufficiently established. This study seeks to offer a systematic framework for understanding these relationships, contributing to the broader discourse on governance, state-society dynamics, and ethnic diversity in post-colonial contexts. By analysing these regions comparatively, the research provides insights for policy-making, conflict resolution, and inclusive development initiatives in similarly complex ethnic and political environments.

## Literature Review

**Historical Context:** The South Pacific islands experienced significant changes during colonial rule, establishing new governmental systems while frequently sidelining indigenous practices (Barker, 2017). Post-colonial states have faced challenges in unifying multiple ethnic groups into a cohesive national identity, resulting in governance and resource allocation issues (Meleisea, 2018). In Fiji, colonial governance led to societal stratification based on ethnic identities, with indigenous Fijians and Indo-Fijians receiving unequal legal treatment. This caused political turmoil and coups as various ethnic groups vied for power and resources. Efforts to establish a cohesive national identity have been hampered by historical differences, leading to ongoing difficulties in governance and policy formulation in Fiji. The preferential treatment given to indigenous Fijians in governmental policy and resource distribution has perpetuated inequality and sparked discontent within the Indo-Fijian community. This has resulted in continual tensions and confrontations between the two predominant ethnic groups, obstructing attempts to forge a unified national identity and effectively govern the country. These tensions have persisted, with indigenous Fijians often feeling marginalised under governance frameworks predominantly controlled by Indo-Fijians, resulting in continuous political upheaval and demands for increased representation and the incorporation of indigenous practices in decision-making processes. The legacy of colonialism continues to influence Fiji's political landscape, highlighting the necessity of redressing historical injustices and fostering inclusive governance frameworks. Similarly, Northern Kenya has a multifaceted historical context shaped by colonial practices that undermined local governing structures. The imposition of external authority frequently intensified ethnic divisions, undermining the state's developmental role (Anderson, 2019). Ethnic groups in this region often engage in resource-driven conflicts, complicating state involvement. The Turkana and Pokot communities in Northern Kenya have been embroiled in conflicts over water and grazing areas, resulting in recurring bloodshed and displacement. Local organisations have sought to foster dialogue and collaboration among various groups, emphasising the importance of inclusivity and

shared resources for enduring peace and development. This violence has hindered developmental initiatives in the region, as resources that could be allocated for infrastructure and education are instead diverted towards security and conflict resolution. The lack of trust among various ethnic groups complicates the state's ability to enact policies that fairly benefit all populations, exacerbating divides and hindering growth. Nonetheless, through persistent efforts to cultivate trust and enhance understanding, there is optimism that these entrenched challenges can be resolved. By promoting dialogue and

## Framework for Comparative Analysis

**Policies for Development:** Development programs in both regions have often been criticised for their top-down approach, which neglects local circumstances and ethnic identities. For instance, assistance initiatives in the South Pacific have occasionally disregarded indigenous governance frameworks, resulting in opposition and suboptimal results (Schoeffel, 2016). In Northern Kenya, development attempts frequently intensify preexisting ethnic tensions instead of mitigating them. These instances highlight the importance of integrating local knowledge and perspectives into development programmes to ensure their efficacy and sustainability. A comparative analysis of the two regions emphasizes the need for a more sophisticated understanding of power dynamics and historical contexts in the design and implementation of development interventions. By addressing these fundamental issues, policymakers can aim to create more inclusive and effective development plans that benefit all segments of society. In a rural Kenyan town, a development initiative aimed at improving access to clean water failed to consider the local population's traditional water sources and practices. The project encountered opposition and was ultimately discontinued, highlighting the importance of recognising and incorporating indigenous expertise. Conversely, a similar initiative in another region that involved the community in the planning and implementation phases achieved success, resulting in sustainable improvements in water access and usage rates. A development initiative in a rural Indian village aimed to increase agricultural productivity through new farming practices, neglecting the area's climate and soil conditions. This oversight led to agricultural failures and reduced production, ultimately harming the local economy. In contrast, a project that conducted thorough research and collaborated with local farmers regarding their traditional methods resulted in higher agricultural yields and enhanced community livelihoods. The project's success was attributed to its comprehensive approach considering the region's unique environmental factors. Through close collaboration with the community and leveraging their expertise, the project effectively implemented context-specific sustainable solutions. The initiative not only improved agricultural productivity but also fostered a sense of ownership and empowerment among the farmers, leading to lasting benefits for the community.

**Regional Authority and Robustness:** Local communities in the South Pacific and Northern Kenya exhibit substantial agency in shaping their development trajectories, demonstrating resilience against external pressures and institutional barriers. Indigenous communities in the South Pacific have successfully advocated for the recognition of their governance systems in assistance initiatives, resulting in more sustainable and culturally relevant solutions. Jollands and Harmsworth (2007) recognise the importance of indigenous communities. In Northern Kenya, local communities have come together to alleviate ethnic tensions and implement peacebuilding programmes that address their specific needs and aspirations. This has led to the establishment of community-driven conflict resolution methods and discourse platforms that promote inclusivity and cooperation among various ethnic groups. This highlights the necessity of addressing fundamental structural issues and fostering consensus among all stakeholders to achieve lasting peace in conflict-affected regions. Facilitating dialogue and reconciliation among opposing parties can bridge divides and lay the groundwork for enduring peace. Engaging with local leaders and community members to address grievances and promote understanding is crucial for overcoming obstacles to peacebuilding. Collaboratively developing a

framework for cooperation and shared objectives nurtures hope for a future in which conflicts are peacefully resolved and stability is ensured for future generations. The local agency is vital in peacebuilding initiatives as it empowers communities to take responsibility for the reconciliation process and promotes lasting change. By leveraging the expertise, skills, and networks of local stakeholders, the effectiveness and responsiveness of peacebuilding programmes to community needs are enhanced. Moreover, by emphasising local agency, development initiatives can ensure lasting influence and sustainability, as communities are more likely to engage in and commit to the outcomes of the peacebuilding process. By fostering a sense of ownership and accountability within the community, the local agency strengthens trust and collaboration among stakeholders, establishing a solid foundation for enduring peace. Additionally, enabling local stakeholders to lead peacebuilding initiatives can yield solutions that are more culturally sensitive and contextually relevant, tailored to the unique needs and dynamics of the community. By prioritising and promoting local agency in peacebuilding initiatives, we can establish a more inclusive and effective approach to fostering lasting peace for future generations.

**Southern Pacific:** In the South Pacific, local groups have progressively asserted their rights and identities against globalisation and state policies that frequently marginalise indigenous viewpoints. Grassroots movements, including the Pacific Islands' regional councils and indigenous advocacy organisations, have been instrumental in restoring traditional governing traditions. The "We Are Pacific" movement has effectively united many ethnic groups to demand increased representation in decision-making over land and resources (Huffer, 2020). This initiative has resulted in creating community-owned conservation zones and formulating sustainable resource management strategies that emphasise indigenous knowledge and traditions. Through collaboration, these grassroots movements have amplified their voices and advocated for policy changes that align with the values and priorities of Pacific Island communities. They have effectively contested prevailing narratives that have historically marginalised the voices and needs of indigenous populations in the Pacific. These grassroots movements promote indigenous knowledge and practices, thereby maintaining cultural traditions and supporting sustainable, equitable development in the region. Consequently, local communities are acquiring greater agency and autonomy in determining their futures and countering the threats of globalisation to their way of life. These movements foster a more inclusive and equitable society in the Pacific via collective action and solidarity. By prioritising indigenous viewpoints and values, these movements are contesting prevailing power structures and promoting policies that emphasise the welfare of all community members. By elevating the voices of historically marginalised individuals, these grassroots movements are establishing platforms for debate and collaboration that promote enhanced understanding and solidarity among varied communities. The efficacy of these movements is fundamentally rooted in their capacity to enable individuals and communities to reclaim their cultural history and identity while also promoting a more sustainable and peaceful interaction with the environment.

Moreover, numerous communities actively engage in sustainable practices rooted in traditional knowledge. Community-managed marine areas (CMMAs) illustrate how local stewardship can enhance biodiversity and improve food security. By integrating indigenous knowledge with contemporary conservation strategies, communities have resisted external exploitation and asserted autonomy over natural resources (Govan et al., 2013). A prime example is the Maasai community in Kenya, which has established communal conservancies to protect their lands from encroachment by outside developers. These conservancies preserve biodiversity while providing economic benefits through tourism and sustainable grazing practices. The Indigenous Peoples of the Amazon rainforest have successfully resisted deforestation and illegal mining by utilising traditional knowledge to manage their lands and advocate for stronger environmental protections.

**Northern Kenya:** In Northern Kenya, ethnic groups have created adaptive solutions to address the difficulties of marginalisation and conflict. The formation of community-based organisations (CBOs) has enabled local people to advocate for their rights and tackle challenges related to resource access and governance. The Pastoralist Parliamentary Group advocates for the interests of pastoralist communities in national policy deliberations, demonstrating the capacity of local agencies to impact higher-level governance. Via these CBOs, pastoralist communities have successfully advocated for sustainable land management methods and engaged in discourse with government authorities to safeguard their natural resources. By doing so, they have successfully alleviated the effects of environmental degradation and advanced conservation initiatives in their area. Nonetheless, a comprehensive counterexample can be observed where local agencies are co-opted by larger enterprises or governmental institutions, resulting in conflicts of interest and compromising the initial objective of community representation. This may lead to decisions prioritising profit over community and environmental welfare, resulting in further degradation and exploitation of natural resources. Moreover, local traditional conflict resolution institutions, such as "elders' councils," are crucial in settling disagreements and promoting social cohesion. These councils employ culturally pertinent methods to resolve resource-related problems, strengthening community resilience. Communities have effectively reduced violence and reinforced social connections by prioritising traditional governing arrangements despite persistent challenges from external state institutions (Lentz, 2021). In a rural Kenyan town, elders' councils have played a crucial role in adjudicating land ownership issues among surrounding families. By mediating and following traditional customs, these councils have averted the escalation of confrontations and preserved communal bonds. By adhering to conventional dispute resolution techniques, the hamlet has maintained peace and stability, illustrating the efficacy of local agencies in shaping higher-level governance outcomes. The elders' councils have been crucial in safeguarding indigenous knowledge and cultural practices within the community, ensuring that future generations appreciate and maintain their history.

This example underscores the significance of local organisations in addressing intricate issues and preserving social cohesiveness, notwithstanding external pressures. During crises or disputes, the village seeks counsel from these assemblies for guidance and insight, relying on their capacity to devise solutions advantageous to the entire community. Their profound comprehension of the local context and history enables them to resolve issues while honouring the traditions and values of the community. Consequently, the hamlet endures and remains cohesive, exemplifying the fortitude and sagacity of its elders. The efficacy of their leadership underscores the significance of safeguarding and bolstering local institutions in the establishment of sustainable and cohesive societies. By honouring the authority of the elders and adhering to their decisions, the village guarantees the preservation of its traditions and values for future generations. The councils' capacity to arbitrate conflicts and foster cohesion among community members has reinforced their position as reliable counsellors and leaders. Their ongoing advice enables the village to flourish as a unified and successful community, acting as a model for others to emulate in cherishing and safeguarding their local institutions.

**Interrelation of Local and National Dynamics:** The interplay between local agencies and national dynamics is intricate and bidirectional, with local actions influencing significant state policies and national frameworks while concurrently being shaped by those same institutions. Understanding this interdependence is essential for formulating effective, inclusive development policies. A rural community may unite to adopt sustainable farming practices, potentially impacting national agriculture policies. Conversely, national education financing policies may affect the quality of local schools, altering the opportunities available to future generations. This connection can also yield adverse consequences. If a national policy prioritises large-scale agriculture over small-scale farming, it may hinder rural people's efforts to implement sustainable practices

and sustain their livelihoods. Moreover, inadequate national education financing may perpetuate resource and opportunity inequities across local schools, exacerbating the divide between affluent and disadvantaged areas. The lack of support for small-scale agriculture and education in marginalised areas can have enduring consequences for the overall welfare of these populations. Without sustainable farming techniques and quality education, local communities may struggle to thrive and contribute to the broader economy. Policymakers must recognise the diverse needs of different groups

**Impact of Local Agencies on National Policy:** Local communities often serve as catalysts for change within national policy frameworks. In the South Pacific, grassroots movements have effectively advocated for improved representation in governmental decision-making. The inclusion of indigenous MPs in national parliaments has been driven by local advocacy organisations seeking recognition of traditional rights and customs. This has led to the creation of policies that are more culturally relevant and responsive to the needs of various ethnic groups (Huffer, 2020). The indigenous Fijian community campaigned for the enactment of the 2013 Constitution, which guaranteed parliamentary seats exclusively for representatives of indigenous Fijians. This local agency resulted in a more inclusive and representative national government that truly reflects the country's diverse population. However, the implementation of this approach has faced criticism for perpetuating ethnic differences and marginalising non-indigenous people in Fiji. This has sparked tensions and conflicts within the nation, undermining the goal of establishing a more inclusive and representative government. The push for indigenous Fijian representation in parliament was a vital step to address past inequalities and ensure that all voices are considered in governmental decision-making. Nevertheless, the unforeseen consequences of this approach have highlighted the need to strike a balance between representation and cohesion in a diverse community. Fiji must intensify efforts to foster a national identity that transcends ethnic divisions, whilst simultaneously honouring and valuing the distinct cultural heritage of all its residents. Fiji can only achieve a government that represents and serves all its citizens through dialogue, collaboration, and a commitment to inclusivity.

In Northern Kenya, community-based organisations (CBOs) have significantly influenced national discussions on land rights and resource management. By structuring local constituencies and articulating their needs at the national level, these organisations impact policy debates and advocate for fairer resource distribution. The inclusion of pastoralist perspectives in national agricultural policy has led to the recognition of the unique challenges faced by these communities, fostering policies that support sustainable pastoralism. Conversely, national policies can significantly influence local agencies and community resilience. In both regions, centralised governance often imposes top-down development objectives that may overlook local practices and expertise. In the South Pacific, this has led to development initiatives that prioritise foreign investment at the cost of native livelihoods, resulting in tensions and opposition from indigenous populations. National policies that neglect the cultural and social contexts of local communities may exacerbate disparities and undermine local governance frameworks (Schoeffel, 2016). National security measures in Northern Kenya can significantly impact local populations, particularly in areas characterised by ethnic strife. Policies that emphasise military responses to insecurity often undermine traditional conflict resolution methods, leading to increased instability and distrust among communities. The disparity between national objectives and local conditions highlights the need for a more cohesive strategy that respects local governance frameworks while pursuing broader national aims (Anderson, 2019).

**Collaborative Strategies:** Understanding the interrelation of local and national dynamics facilitates the development of synergistic strategies that utilise local expertise while aligning with national objectives. Collaborative frameworks engaging local stakeholders in the planning and execution of development projects can enhance the relevance and effectiveness of these programmes. Participatory planning methods that involve community members in decision-making can foster

ownership and commitment to development initiatives, leading to more sustainable outcomes (Govan *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, facilitating communication between local and national stakeholders can enhance mutual understanding and trust. By establishing platforms for interaction—such as local councils or national forums that include diverse ethnic representatives—policymakers can align local needs with national objectives. Deliberative multi-actor dialogues can establish legitimacy, promote flexible laws and institutions, and strengthen national governance systems. Engaging diverse participants from various geographical, cultural, and political backgrounds allows discussions to address power imbalances and foster the collaborative creation of knowledge and understanding. Establishing forums for cooperative exploration and decision-making, coordinating resource utilisation among all partners, framing solutions regarding reciprocal benefits, and prioritising both procedural and outcome results are crucial strategies for promoting intersectoral partnerships and cooperation among government, business, and civil society stakeholders. This enhances policy responsiveness and enables communities to shape their own developmental trajectories. (Thomas *et al.*, 2018); (Thomas *et al.*, 2018); (L. & Civil, 1997); (Adrian, 2014).

## CONCLUSION

Understanding the complex relationships between development, statehood, and ethnicity in the South Pacific and Northern Kenya is crucial for formulating effective strategies. By recognising local agency and the importance of inclusive frameworks, policymakers can better address the unique needs of ethnically diverse communities, fostering sustainable and equitable development. This comparative research enhances our comprehension of the unique circumstances in these regions and offers insights relevant to other areas facing similar challenges. By acknowledging the complexities of identity and power dynamics, policymakers can create more inclusive and respectful policies that take into account the diverse perspectives and needs of various ethnic groups. This approach can help bridge existing gaps and encourage collaboration and cooperation among communities that have historically been marginalised or excluded. By prioritising inclusivity and understanding in policy-making, we can move towards a more equitable and peaceful society where all individuals can thrive.

## REFERENCES

- Adrian. 2014. Regional resilience: An agency perspective. *Regional Studies*, 48(1), 101-113. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00343404.2013.854879>.
- Anderson, D. 2019. *Colonialism and its legacies in Northern Kenya*. Nairobi: East African Publishers.
- Barker, J. 2017. *The politics of identity in the South Pacific*. Suva: University of the South Pacific Press.
- Huffer, E. 2020. Indigenous movements and development in the Pacific Islands. *Pacific Studies*, 43(2), 45-66.
- Jollands, N., & Harmsworth, G. 2007. Participation of indigenous groups in sustainable development monitoring: Rationale and examples from New Zealand. *Ecological Economics*, 62(3-4), 716-726.
- L., & Civil. 1997. *IDR reports 13 No.* [https://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf\\_docs/PNACP365.pdf](https://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/PNACP365.pdf)
- Lentz, C. 2021. Negotiating ethnic identity in Northern Kenya: Community resilience and governance. *Journal of African Studies*, 34(3), 233-250.
- Maiono, J. R. 2024. *Fifty years of independence: Indigenous perception on sustainable land development in Fiji* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Otago).
- Meleisea, E. 2018. The challenges of statehood in the Pacific Islands. *Journal of Pacific History*, 53(1), 15-31.
- Schoeffel, P. 2016. Revitalization of Samoa's Village Women's Committee for Public Health Promotion.

Thomas, C., & Niclas. 2018. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law, and Economics*, 18(4).  
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10784-018-9410>.

Tittonell, P., Piñeiro, G., Garibaldi, L. A., Dogliotti, S., Olf, H., & Jobbagy, E. G. 2020. Agroecology in large-scale farming—A research agenda. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 4, 584605.

\*\*\*\*\*