

ISSN: 2230-9926

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Available online at http://www.journalijdr.com



International Journal of Development Research Vol. 11, Issue, 11, pp. 52362-52367, November, 2021 https://doi.org/10.37118/ijdr.28397.11.2021



**OPEN ACCESS** 

## PSYCHOLOGICAL INTERVENTIONS AND SOCIAL WORKER SUPPORT MECHANISMS FOR STROKE REHABILITATION: A REVIEW

## \*AL Dosari, Alhanouf Saeed Mohammed, AL Shammari, Maram Hlayel Khalaf, AL Suliman, Rana Mohammed Abdullah, AL Luhaydan, Jumanah Abdulaziz Saleh, AL Mutairy, Rehab AlhumaidiRaja'Allah AL Anazi, Nadyah Khalaf Safi and AL Ghamdi, Ibrahim Ali

Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs

## **ARTICLE INFO**

#### Article History:

Received 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2021 Received in revised form 10<sup>th</sup> September, 2021 Accepted 14<sup>th</sup> October, 2021 Published online 30<sup>th</sup> November, 2021

#### Key Words:

Stroke Rehabilitation, Psychological Interventions, Social Support Mechanisms, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR), Motivational Interviewing (MI), Peer Support Groups, Interdisciplinary Care.

#### \*Corresponding author:

AL Dosari, Alhanouf Saeed Mohammed

### ABSTRACT

This review critically examines the role of psychological interventions and social support mechanisms in the rehabilitation of stroke patients. Stroke not only impacts physical health but also significantly affects emotional and cognitive well-being. Psychological interventions such as Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR), and Motivational Interviewing (MI) have shown promising results in addressing post-stroke depression, anxiety, and cognitive impairments. Additionally, social support mechanisms, including family support, peer support groups, community services, and telehealth, play a crucial role in enhancing patients' recovery and quality of life. This review highlights the importance of an interdisciplinary approach that integrates psychological and social support into comprehensive care plans. While existing research demonstrates the benefits of these interventions, methodological limitations and gaps in the literature underscore the need for further studies. The review concludes with recommendations for future research and clinical practices aimed at improving stroke rehabilitation outcomes through tailored psychological and social support strategies.

Copyright © 2021, AL Dosari, Alhanouf Saeed Mohammed et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: AL Dosari, Alhanouf Saeed Mohammed, AL Shammari, Maram Hlayel Khalaf, AL Suliman, Rana Mohammed Abdullah et al. "Psychological Interventions and Social Worker Support Mechanisms for Stroke Rehabilitation: A Review", International Journal of Development Research, 11, (11), 52362-52367.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Stroke is a leading cause of long-term disability worldwide, affecting millions of individuals each year. The consequences of stroke extend beyond physical impairments to encompass significant emotional and cognitive challenges. Post-stroke depression, anxiety, and cognitive deficits are common, often leading to a decreased quality of life and hindering the rehabilitation process (Hackett et al., 2014; Towfighi et al., 2017). The purpose of this review is to critically examine the efficacy of psychological interventions and social support mechanisms in the rehabilitation of stroke patients. By synthesizing current research, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how these interventions can be integrated into patient care to improve outcomes. This review focuses on studies and evidence published in the last decade, with a particular emphasis on high-impact journals and clinical trials.

It encompasses a wide range of psychological interventions, including Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR), and Motivational Interviewing (MI), as well as various social support mechanisms such as family support, peer support groups, community services, and telehealth. Stroke survivors often experience profound emotional and cognitive difficulties. Depression affects approximately one-third of stroke survivors, significantly impacting their recovery and quality of life (Ayerbe et al., 2013). Anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are also prevalent, further complicating the rehabilitation process (Schöttke&Giabbiconi, 2015). Cognitive impairments, including memory loss, attention deficits, and executive dysfunction, are common and can persist long-term, affecting daily functioning and independence (Mijajlović et al., 2017). Psychological and social support play a crucial role in addressing these challenges. Psychological interventions such as CBT, which focuses on altering negative thought patterns, and MBSR, which emphasizes mindfulness practices,

have been shown to reduce symptoms of depression and anxiety in stroke patients (Lincoln *et al.*, 2013; Laurence *et al.*, 2019). Additionally, social support mechanisms, including family involvement and community-based services, provide essential emotional and practical support, promoting a more holistic approach to rehabilitation (Glass *et al.*, 2000; Brummett *et al.*, 2001). This review aims to critically evaluate these interventions, highlighting their effectiveness, integration into rehabilitation programs, and areas requiring further research. By doing so, it seeks to offer insights that can inform clinical practices and improve the overall care of stroke survivors.

**The Psychological Impact of Stroke:** Stroke is a life-altering event that often results in significant psychological challenges for survivors. These challenges can manifest as emotional, cognitive, and behavioral changes that hinder the rehabilitation process and affect the overall quality of life.

**Emotional Consequences:** One of the most common emotional consequences of stroke is depression. Approximately one-third of stroke survivors experience depression, which can severely impact their recovery and quality of life (Ayerbe *et al.*, 2013). Depression in stroke patients is associated with increased disability, cognitive impairment, and higher mortality rates (Hackett *et al.*, 2014). Anxiety is another prevalent emotional response, affecting nearly 20% of stroke survivors. Anxiety can exacerbate physical symptoms and lead to avoidance of activities that are crucial for recovery (Campbell Burton *et al.*, 2013).

**Cognitive Challenges:** Cognitive impairments are also common after a stroke, affecting up to 60% of survivors (Mijajlović *et al.*, 2017). These impairments can include difficulties with memory, attention, language, and executive functioning. For instance, stroke patients may struggle with short-term memory, making it challenging to retain new information or recall recent events (Snaphaan & de Leeuw, 2007). Attention deficits can lead to difficulties in concentrating on tasks, while executive dysfunction can affect planning, problem-solving, and decision-making abilities (Cumming *et al.*, 2013).

**Behavioral Changes:** Behavioral changes following a stroke can include mood swings, irritability, and alterations in personality. These changes can strain relationships with family members and caregivers, adding to the emotional burden on stroke survivors (Miller *et al.*, 2013). Additionally, some stroke patients may exhibit apathy or a lack of motivation, which can hinder their participation in rehabilitation programs and negatively affect their recovery (Radman *et al.*, 2012).

**Impact on Quality of Life:** The combined effect of these emotional, cognitive, and behavioral challenges can lead to a significant reduction in the quality of life for stroke survivors. The inability to perform daily activities independently, coupled with emotional distress, can result in a sense of helplessness and decreased life satisfaction (Carod-Artal *et al.*, 2000). This underscores the importance of addressing psychological and cognitive issues as part of a comprehensive stroke rehabilitation program.

**Psychological Interventions:** Psychological interventions play a critical role in addressing the emotional and cognitive challenges faced by stroke survivors. These interventions aim

to improve mental health outcomes, enhance coping mechanisms, and support overall rehabilitation efforts. This section reviews several key psychological interventions used in stroke rehabilitation, including Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR), Motivational Interviewing (MI), group therapy, and pharmacological interventions.

**Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT):** Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) is a widely used therapeutic approach that focuses on identifying and altering negative thought patterns and behaviors. CBT has been shown to be effective in reducing symptoms of depression and anxiety in stroke patients. Lincoln *et al.* (2013) conducted a randomized controlled trial and found that CBT significantly improved mood and reduced depressive symptoms in stroke survivors. Similarly, Knapp *et al.* (2015) reported that CBT led to significant improvements in anxiety and overall psychological well-being in stroke patients.

**Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR):** Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) is an intervention that incorporates mindfulness meditation and stress reduction techniques to improve psychological health. Laurence *et al.* (2019) demonstrated that MBSR significantly reduced symptoms of anxiety and depression in stroke patients. MBSR helps patients develop greater awareness of their thoughts and feelings, promoting emotional regulation and reducing stress levels (Kabat-Zinn, 2003).

**Motivational Interviewing (MI):** Motivational Interviewing (MI) is a client-centered approach that enhances motivation and commitment to behavioral changes. MI has been particularly useful in encouraging stroke survivors to engage in rehabilitation activities and adhere to treatment plans. A study by Miller and Rollnick (2013) highlighted the effectiveness of MI in improving self-efficacy and promoting positive behavioral changes in stroke patients.

**Group Therapy:** Group therapy provides stroke survivors with a supportive environment where they can share experiences and receive peer support. Group therapy sessions often focus on improving social skills, coping strategies, and emotional expression. Research by Salter *et al.* (2010) found that group therapy significantly improved social functioning and reduced feelings of isolation among stroke survivors. Additionally, peer support within group therapy settings has been associated with enhanced motivation and adherence to rehabilitation programs (Haslam *et al.*, 2008).

**Pharmacological Interventions:** Pharmacological interventions, including the use of antidepressants and anxiolytics, can be beneficial in managing psychological symptoms in stroke patients. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are commonly prescribed to treat poststroke depression. A meta-analysis by Robinson and Jorge (2016) concluded that SSRIs are effective in reducing depressive symptoms and improving overall mood in stroke survivors. However, it is important to consider potential side effects and to use pharmacological interventions in conjunction with psychological therapies.

**Integration of Psychological Interventions:** Integrating psychological interventions into stroke rehabilitation programs requires a multidisciplinary approach. Collaboration between

psychologists, social workers, and healthcare providers is essential to ensure comprehensive care. Combining different therapeutic approaches, such as CBT and MBSR, can provide synergistic benefits and address the diverse needs of stroke survivors (Khan *et al.*, 2015).

 Table 1. Common Psychological Interventions in Stroke

 Rehabilitation

Intervention	Description	Key Studies
Cognitive-	A structured, time-limited	Lincoln et al., 2013;
Behavioral	therapy focusing on changing	Cicerone et al., 2008
Therapy (CBT)	negative thought patterns and behaviors.	
NC 10.1		D 11 0 M 1
Mindfulness- Based Stress	A program that incorporates mindfulness meditation to	Beddoe & Murphy,
Reduction	reduce stress and improve	2004; de Vibe <i>et al.</i> , 2012
(MBSR)	psychological well-being.	2012
Acceptance and	A type of therapy that	Graham et al., 2016;
Commitment	encourages patients to accept	Hayes <i>et al.</i> , 2011
Therapy (ACT)	their thoughts and feelings	<b>y</b> , .
	rather than fighting them.	
Problem-Solving	A cognitive-behavioral	Nezu et al., 2013;
Therapy (PST)	intervention aimed at helping	Alexopoulos et al.,
	individuals develop effective	2012
	coping strategies.	
Interpersonal	A short-term therapy that	Stuart & Robertson,
Therapy (IPT)	focuses on improving	2012; Cuijpers et
	interpersonal relationships	al., 2011
	and social functioning.	

**Social Support Mechanisms:** Social support mechanisms are vital in the rehabilitation process of stroke patients. They provide essential emotional, practical, and informational support that can significantly enhance recovery and improve quality of life. This section explores various forms of social support, including family support, peer support groups, community services, social work interventions, and telehealth and online support.

**Family Support:** Family members play a crucial role in the rehabilitation of stroke survivors. They often provide emotional support, assist with daily activities, and encourage adherence to rehabilitation programs. Research by Glass *et al.* (2000) demonstrated that strong family support is associated with better functional outcomes and reduced depressive symptoms in stroke patients. Family involvement can help alleviate feelings of isolation and promote a sense of security and motivation during the recovery process (Salter *et al.*, 2010).

**Peer Support Groups:** Peer support groups offer stroke survivors the opportunity to connect with others who have experienced similar challenges. These groups provide a platform for sharing experiences, receiving emotional support, and learning coping strategies. A study by Forsberg-Wärleby *et al.* (2002) found that participation in peer support groups led to improved psychological well-being and a greater sense of community among stroke survivors. Peer support can also enhance motivation and adherence to rehabilitation by providing role models and reinforcing positive behaviors (D'Imperio *et al.*, 2019).

**Community Services:** Community services, such as stroke clubs, rehabilitation centers, and local support organizations, offer a range of resources and activities designed to support stroke survivors and their families. These services can include physical therapy, occupational therapy, recreational activities, and educational programs. Research by Egan *et al.* (2010)

highlighted the positive impact of community-based rehabilitation programs on the physical and psychological health of stroke patients. Access to community services can help bridge the gap between hospital discharge and home-based care, ensuring continuity of support (Mayo *et al.*, 2010).

**Social Work Interventions:** Social workers play a key role in coordinating care and providing support to stroke survivors and their families. They assess the needs of patients, develop individualized care plans, and connect them with appropriate resources and services. A study by Tariah *et al.* (2006) emphasized the importance of social work interventions in addressing the social and emotional needs of stroke patients, improving their overall well-being and facilitating successful reintegration into the community. Social workers also provide valuable support in navigating healthcare systems and accessing financial assistance and other benefits (NASW, 2013).

**Telehealth and Online Support:** Telehealth and online support services have emerged as valuable tools in providing continuous care and support to stroke survivors, especially in remote or underserved areas. Telehealth interventions, such as video consultations, online support groups, and digital therapy programs, offer convenient and flexible options for accessing care. A systematic review by Laver *et al.* (2020) found that telehealth interventions were effective in improving access to rehabilitation services and enhancing patient outcomes. Online support groups and forums also provide a platform for stroke survivors to share experiences, seek advice, and receive emotional support from peers (Damush *et al.*, 2007).

**Integration of Social Support Mechanisms:** Integrating social support mechanisms into stroke rehabilitation programs requires a multidisciplinary approach. Collaboration between healthcare providers, social workers, community organizations, and family members is essential to ensure comprehensive and coordinated care. Studies have shown that combining various forms of social support can lead to improved rehabilitation outcomes and enhanced quality of life for stroke survivors (Chen *et al.*, 2016).

Table 2. Social Support Mechanisms in Stroke Rehabilitation

Mechanism	Description	Key Studies
Family Support	Involvement of family members in the rehabilitation process to provide emotional and practical support.	Cameron <i>et al.</i> , 2014; Simon <i>et al.</i> , 2008
Peer Support Groups	Groups of stroke survivors who meet regularly to share experiences, provide mutual support, and offer advice.	Morris <i>et al.</i> , 2017; Dennis <i>et al.</i> , 1999
Community Services	Services provided by community organizations to assist with daily living activities and social integration.	Graven <i>et al.</i> , 2011; Pound <i>et al.</i> , 1999
Social Work Interventions	Assistance provided by social workers to help stroke survivors navigate healthcare systems and access resources.	Hartke & King, 2002; Dorstyn <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2014
Telehealth	Use of telecommunication technology to deliver rehabilitation services and support remotely.	Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Johansson & Wild, 2011

Integration of Psychological and Social Support in Rehabilitation: Integrating psychological and social support

mechanisms into stroke rehabilitation programs is essential for providing comprehensive care that addresses the multifaceted needs of stroke survivors. A multidisciplinary approach that combines these interventions can significantly enhance recovery outcomes and improve the quality of life for patients. This section explores the interdisciplinary approaches, patientcentered care, barriers to integration, and successful case studies.

**Interdisciplinary Approaches:** Interdisciplinary care models involve collaboration among healthcare providers, including neurologists, psychologists, social workers, physical therapists, and occupational therapists, to deliver holistic care. Such models ensure that psychological and social needs are addressed alongside physical rehabilitation. A study by Khan *et al.* (2015) highlighted the benefits of interdisciplinary approaches in stroke rehabilitation, showing improved functional outcomes and patient satisfaction. These models facilitate coordinated care plans that incorporate psychological interventions, such as CBT and MBSR, along with social support mechanisms like family involvement and community services.

**Patient-Centered Care:** Patient-centered care emphasizes tailoring rehabilitation programs to the individual needs and preferences of stroke survivors. This approach ensures that psychological and social support interventions are aligned with the patient's goals, values, and cultural context. Studies have shown that patient-centered care can lead to better engagement in rehabilitation activities and higher satisfaction with care (Epstein & Street, 2011). For example, personalized CBT sessions that address specific cognitive and emotional challenges faced by the patient can be more effective than generic interventions (Williams *et al.*, 2014).

**Barriers to Integration:** Despite the proven benefits, several barriers hinder the integration of psychological and social support into stroke rehabilitation. These include resource limitations, such as insufficient funding and staffing, which can restrict access to comprehensive care. Additionally, a lack of training among healthcare providers in recognizing and addressing psychological and social needs can impede effective integration (Kidd *et al.*, 2015).

Stigma associated with mental health issues may also prevent patients from seeking psychological support, further complicating the integration process (Corrigan, 2004).

**Successful Case Studies:** Several successful case studies illustrate the positive impact of integrated care models. For instance, the INTERACT trial (Integrated Rehabilitation and Cognitive Therapy) demonstrated that combining cognitive therapy with physical rehabilitation significantly improved cognitive function and daily living activities in stroke patients (Lawrence *et al.*, 2001). Another example is the Comprehensive Post-Acute Stroke Services (COMPASS) program, which integrated medical, psychological, and social support services, leading to improved health outcomes and reduced hospital readmissions (Bushnell *et al.*, 2018).

**Recommendations for Future Research:** Future research should focus on developing scalable models for integrating psychological and social support into stroke rehabilitation. This includes exploring the use of technology, such as telehealth, to expand access to these services. Additionally, longitudinal studies are needed to assess the long-term benefits

of integrated care models on stroke recovery outcomes. Research should also investigate the cost-effectiveness of these approaches to support their broader implementation (Carter *et al.*, 2016). Integrating psychological and social support mechanisms into stroke rehabilitation is crucial for addressing the comprehensive needs of stroke survivors. Interdisciplinary approaches, patient-centered care, and overcoming barriers to integration can lead to significant improvements in rehabilitation outcomes. Successful case studies provide valuable insights into effective integration strategies, highlighting the importance of coordinated, holistic care.

**Critical Analysis of Existing Research:** The body of research on psychological interventions and social support mechanisms for stroke rehabilitation is extensive, yet it presents several strengths and limitations that warrant critical analysis. This section aims to evaluate the existing literature, highlighting methodological strengths, identifying gaps, and suggesting areas for future research.

**Methodological Strengths:** Many studies on psychological interventions and social support mechanisms for stroke rehabilitation have employed rigorous methodologies, such as randomized controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, and meta-analyses. These research designs are considered the gold standard for establishing causal relationships and assessing the effectiveness of interventions. For instance, Lincoln *et al.* (2013) conducted an RCT that demonstrated the effectiveness of CBT in reducing depressive symptoms in stroke survivors. Similarly, Robinson and Jorge (2016) provided a comprehensive meta-analysis that synthesized data from multiple studies on the use of SSRIs for post-stroke depression, offering robust evidence of their efficacy. The use of such rigorous methodologies enhances the credibility and reliability of the findings.

**Identified Gaps:** Despite the strengths, there are notable gaps in the existing research that limit the generalizability and applicability of the findings.

**Diverse Populations**: Many studies have predominantly focused on specific populations, such as older adults or those in high-income countries, potentially limiting the generalizability of the results to other groups. There is a need for more research involving diverse populations, including younger stroke survivors, individuals from low- and middle-income countries, and those with different cultural backgrounds.

**Longitudinal Studies**: Most studies have short follow-up periods, making it challenging to assess the long-term effectiveness and sustainability of psychological interventions and social support mechanisms. Longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate the enduring impact of these interventions on the psychological well-being and quality of life of stroke survivors.

**Integration of Interventions**: While many studies have examined individual interventions, there is a lack of research on the integrated use of multiple psychological and social support mechanisms. Understanding how different interventions can be combined to maximize their effectiveness is crucial for developing comprehensive rehabilitation programs. **Outcome Measures**: The outcome measures used in existing research are often limited to specific psychological symptoms, such as depression and anxiety. Broader outcome measures, including quality of life, social functioning, and overall wellbeing, should be incorporated to provide a more holistic assessment of the impact of interventions.

#### Areas for Future Research

# To address these gaps, future research should focus on the following areas:

**Diverse and Inclusive Samples**: Conducting studies that include diverse and representative samples will enhance the generalizability of the findings. Research should aim to include participants from various age groups, cultural backgrounds, and socioeconomic statuses.

**Longitudinal Follow-Up**: Implementing longitudinal designs with extended follow-up periods will provide insights into the long-term effects of psychological and social support interventions. These studies should track outcomes over several years to assess the sustainability of improvements.

**Multifaceted Interventions**: Exploring the integration of multiple psychological and social support interventions within a single rehabilitation program can help identify synergies and optimize outcomes. Research should investigate how combining interventions like CBT, MBSR, and peer support can provide comprehensive care.

**Holistic Outcome Measures**: Utilizing a broader range of outcome measures, including quality of life, social functioning, and patient satisfaction, will offer a more comprehensive evaluation of the impact of interventions. These measures should reflect the multifaceted nature of stroke recovery.

Study	Objective	Methodology	Key Findings
Lincoln et	Assess the	Randomized	CBT significantly
al. (2013)	effectiveness of	Controlled Trial	reduced
	CBT in reducing		depressive
	depressive		symptoms
	symptoms in stroke		compared to the
	survivors.		control group.
Robinson &	Review the efficacy	Meta-Analysis	SSRIs are
Jorge (2016)	of SSRIs in treating		effective in
	post-stroke		reducing
	depression.		depressive
			symptoms in
			stroke survivors.
Bushnell et	Evaluate the	Comprehensive	Integrated care
al. (2018)	effectiveness of the	Program Study	improved health
	COMPASS program		outcomes and
	in post-acute stroke		reduced hospital
	care.		readmissions.
Khan <i>et al</i> .	Explore	Systematic	Interdisciplinary
(2015)	interdisciplinary	Review	approaches lead to
	approaches to		improved
	neurorehabilitation.		functional
			outcomes and
			patient
			satisfaction.
Carter et al.	Assess the cost-	Cost-	Home-based
(2016)	effectiveness of	Effectiveness	rehabilitation is
	home-based	Analysis	cost-effective and
	rehabilitation for		leads to significant
	stroke patients.		health
			improvements.

Table 3. Summary of Key Research Studies

The existing research on psychological interventions and social support mechanisms for stroke rehabilitation has provided valuable insights into their effectiveness. However, addressing the identified gaps and focusing on diverse samples, longitudinal follow-up, multifaceted interventions, and holistic outcome measures will enhance the understanding and implementation of these interventions. Future research should aim to develop comprehensive, patient-centered rehabilitation programs that integrate psychological and social support to maximize recovery outcomes for stroke survivors.

# **CONCLUSION**

The integration of psychological interventions and social support mechanisms in stroke rehabilitation is essential for addressing the multifaceted needs of stroke survivors. The research reviewed highlights the significant impact these interventions can have on enhancing recovery outcomes, improving quality of life, and supporting the overall wellbeing of patients. Psychological interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) and mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), have been shown to effectively reduce symptoms of depression and anxiety, fostering a positive mental health environment conducive to rehabilitation. These interventions help patients develop coping strategies, enhance emotional regulation, and improve their overall psychological resilience. Social support mechanisms, including family support, peer support groups, community services, social work interventions, and telehealth, play a critical role in providing the emotional, practical, and informational support necessary for effective rehabilitation. The involvement of family and peers, access to community resources, and innovative telehealth solutions contribute to a supportive network that encourages patient engagement and adherence to rehabilitation programs. A critical analysis of existing research reveals several strengths, such as the use of rigorous methodologies and the establishment of effective interventions. However, it also identifies gaps, including the need for diverse and inclusive samples, longitudinal studies, and the integration of multifaceted interventions. Addressing these gaps will enhance the understanding and implementation of comprehensive rehabilitation programs.

Future research should focus on developing scalable models that integrate psychological and social support into stroke rehabilitation. This includes exploring the use of technology to expand access to these services and conducting longitudinal studies to assess the long-term benefits. Additionally, research should investigate the cost-effectiveness of integrated care models to support their broader implementation. In conclusion, the integration of psychological and social support mechanisms is crucial for providing holistic care to stroke survivors. By addressing the psychological, social, and physical aspects of recovery, these integrated approaches can significantly improve rehabilitation outcomes, enhance quality of life, and support the overall well-being of stroke patients. Implementing patient-centered, interdisciplinary care models and overcoming barriers to integration will ensure that stroke survivors receive the comprehensive support they need for successful recovery.

# REFERENCES

Ayerbe, L., Ayis, S., Wolfe, C. D. A., & Rudd, A. G. 2013. Natural history, predictors, and outcomes of depression after stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 2021, 14-21.

- Brummett, B. H., Barefoot, J. C., Siegler, I. C., Clapp-Channing, N. E., Lytle, B. L., Bosworth, H. B. and Mark, D. B. 2001. Characteristics of socially isolated patients with coronary artery disease who are at elevated risk for mortality. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 632, 267-272.
- Bushnell, C., Duncan, P. W., Lycan, S. L., Condon, C. N., Smith, P. S., Houle, T. T. and Horn, S. D. 2018. A comprehensive post-acute stroke services COMPASS study: primary results. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 722, e009349.
- Campbell Burton, C. A., Murray, J., Holmes, J., Astin, F., Greenwood, D., & Knapp, P. 2013. Frequency of anxiety after stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *International Journal of Stroke*, 87, 545-559.
- Carod-Artal, J., Egido, J. A., González, J. L., & Seijas, E. V. 2000. Quality of life among stroke survivors evaluated 1 year after stroke: experience of a stroke unit. *Stroke*, 3112, 2995-3000.
- Chen, C. C., Heinemann, A. W., Bode, R. K., Granger, C. V., & Mallinson, T. 2016. Impact of multidisciplinary rehabilitation on short-term outcomes of stroke patients. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 871, 117-124.
- Corrigan, P. W. 2004. How stigma interferes with mental health care. *American Psychologist*, 597, 614-625.
- Cumming, T. B., Marshall, R. S., & Lazar, R. M. 2013. Stroke, cognitive deficits, and rehabilitation: still an incomplete picture. *International Journal of Stroke*, 81, 38-45.
- Damush, T. M., Ofner, S., Perkins, S. M., & Miller, D. 2007. The implementation of an online stroke support group: a feasibility study. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 783, 255-260.
- D'Imperio, M., Beaudoin, A. J., & Adair, R. 2019. Peer support groups in stroke rehabilitation: a literature review. *Stroke Rehabilitation*, 265, 150-157.
- Egan, M., Davis, C. G., Dubouloz, C. J., Kessler, D., & Kubina, L. A. 2010. Participation and well-being poststroke: evidence of reciprocal effects. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 917, 1051-1056.
- Forsberg-Wärleby, G., Möller, A., & Blomstrand, C. 2002. Psychological well-being of spouses of stroke patients during the first year after stroke. *Stroke*, 332, 359-365.
- Glass, T. A., Matchar, D. B., Belyea, M., &Feussner, J. R. 2000. Impact of social support on outcome in first stroke. *Stroke*, 318, 1738-1744.
- Haslam, S. A., Jetten, J., Postmes, T., & Haslam, C. 2008. Social identity, health and well-being: An emerging agenda for applied psychology. *Applied Psychology*, 581, 1-23.
- Hackett, M. L., Yapa, C., Parag, V., & Anderson, C. S. 2014. Frequency of depression after stroke: a systematic review of observational studies. *Stroke*, 366, 1330-1340.
- Kabat-Zinn, J. 2003. Mindfulness-based interventions in context: past, present, and future. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 102, 144-156.
- Khan, F., Amatya, B., Galea, M. P., Gonzenbach, R., & Kesselring, J. 2015. Neurorehabilitation: applied neuroplasticity. *Journal of Neurology*, 2622, 367-379.
- Kidd, M. R., Anderson, M. I., & Vandenberg, B. E. 2015. Integrating mental health and rehabilitation services in primary care settings. *Australian Health Review*, 393, 312-319.

- Knapp, P., Campbell Burton, C. A., Holmes, J., Murray, J., Gillespie, D., Lightbody, C. E. and Lewis, S. R. 2015. Interventions for treating anxiety after stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 5, CD008860.
- Laurence, B. D., Fresco, D. M., Qian, M., & Lincoln, N. B. 2019. Mindfulness-based stress reduction for stroke patients: a randomized controlled study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 109, 63-69.
- Laver, K. E., Adey-Wakeling, Z., Crotty, M., Lannin, N. A., & George, S. 2020. Telerehabilitation services for stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 1, CD010255.
- Lincoln, N. B., Brinkmann, N., Cunningham, S., & Francis, V. M. 2013. Anxiety and depression after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Stroke*, 448, 2430-2436.
- Mayo, N. E., Wood-Dauphinee, S., Côté, R., Durcan, L., & Carlton, J. 2010. Activity, participation, and quality of life 6 months poststroke. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 838, 1035-1042.
- Miller, E. L., Murray, L., Richards, L., Zorowitz, R. D., Bakas, T., Clark, P., & Billinger, S. A. 2013. Comprehensive overview of nursing and interdisciplinary rehabilitation care of the stroke patient: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Stroke*, 4110, 2402-2448.
- Mijajlović, M. D., Pavlović, A., Brainin, M., Heiss, W.-D., Quinn, T. J., Ihle-Hansen, H. B. and Bornstein, N. M. 2017. Post-stroke dementia – a comprehensive review. *BMC Medicine*, 151, 1-12.
- National Association of Social Workers NASW. 2013. Social work speaks: National Association of Social Workers policy statements, 2012-2014. NASW Press.
- Radman, N., Staub, F., Aboulafia-Brakha, T., Berney, A., Bogousslavsky, J., & Annoni, J. M. 2012. Poststroke apathy: a review. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 8312, 1158-1166.
- Robinson, R. G., & Jorge, R. E. 2016. Post-stroke depression: a review. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 1733, 221-231.
- Salter, K. L., Foley, N. C., Zhu, L., Jutai, J. W., &Teasell, R. W. 2010. Prevention of poststroke depression: Does prophylactic pharmacotherapy work? *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*, 195, 410-417.
- Snaphaan, L., & de Leeuw, F.-E. 2007. Poststroke memory function in nondemented patients: a systematic review on frequency and neuroimaging correlates. *Stroke*, 381, 198-203.
- Schöttke, H., & Giabbiconi, C. M. 2015. Post-stroke depression and post-traumatic stress disorder: a common biological basis? *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 792, 99-104.
- Tariah, H. A., Hersch, G., & Strain, L. 2006. Social support in poststroke rehabilitation. *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*, 133, 24-32.
- Towfighi, A., Ovbiagele, B., El Husseini, N., Hackett, M. L., Jorge, R. E., Kissela, B. M. and Williams, L. S. 2017. Poststroke depression: a scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*, 482, e30-e43.
- Williams, L. S., Brizendine, E. J., Plue, L., Bakas, T., Tu, W., Hendrie, H. and Kroenke, K. 2014. Performance of the PHQ-9 as a screening tool for depression after stroke. *Stroke*, 353, 623-627.